

QuantumACES.jl: design noise characterisation experiments for quantum computers

Evan T. Hockings ^{1,2}

¹ School of Physics, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia ² ARC Centre of Excellence for Engineered Quantum Systems

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

QuantumACES is a Julia ([Bezanson et al., 2017](#)) package for designing, simulating, and performing scalable Pauli noise characterisation experiments for quantum computers. Noise in quantum devices is the key obstacle to large-scale quantum computation. Consequently, quantum computers will require fault-tolerant architectures that replace physical qubits and operations with redundantly-encoded logical equivalents, which entails regularly measuring the parity checks of quantum error correcting codes ([Aliferis, 2013](#); [Gottesman, 2010](#); [Shor, 1996](#)). Decoders process the resulting error syndrome data and attempt to infer the most likely underlying physical errors in the quantum device. Subsequently, they determine correction operations that attempt to preserve logical information. The noise estimates produced by QuantumACES can inform decoders of the likelihood of physical error configurations in a quantum device ([Chen et al., 2022](#); [Higgott et al., 2023](#); [Sundaresan et al., 2023](#); [Tiurev et al., 2023](#); [Tuckett et al., 2020](#)), enabling noise-aware decoding. They can also be used to generate simulated data for training more accurate decoders, such as ([Bausch et al., 2024](#)), verify appropriate device calibration, and inform the co-design of quantum error correcting codes, decoders, fault-tolerant circuits, and quantum devices.

QuantumACES designs experiments to characterise Pauli noise in stabiliser circuits within the framework of averaged circuit eigenvalue sampling (ACES) ([Flammia, 2022](#)), following the theory and protocol outlined in ([Hockings et al., 2025b](#)). Stabiliser circuits are a restricted class of quantum circuits that admit efficient classical simulation ([Aaronson & Gottesman, 2004](#); [Gottesman, 1997](#)), including with Pauli noise. Quantum noise is tailored into Pauli noise by techniques such as Pauli frame randomisation ([Knill, 2005](#)), randomised compiling ([Wallman & Emerson, 2016](#)), or quantum error correction itself ([Beale et al., 2018](#)). Additionally, the theory of quantum error correction and fault tolerance generally relies on modelling noise as Pauli noise ([Terhal, 2015](#)).

QuantumACES contains routines for optimising designs for noise characterisation experiments, given an arbitrary stabiliser circuit and Pauli noise model, using functions that precisely predict the performance of these experimental designs. It has built-in circuits and noise models and also allows users to define their own. These noise characterisation experiments are simulated with the open-source Python package Stim ([Gidney, 2021](#)), a fast simulator for stabiliser circuits with Pauli noise.

In a typical fault-tolerant quantum computing architecture, the bulk of the physical qubits and gate operations are dedicated to performing the syndrome extraction circuits that measure the parity checks of quantum error correcting codes. These syndrome extraction circuits, which are stabiliser circuits, are therefore the key target for noise characterisation experiments. QuantumACES is tailored to characterising Pauli noise in syndrome extraction circuits, particularly for topological quantum error correcting codes such as the surface code ([Bravyi & Kitaev, 1998](#); [Dennis et al., 2002](#); [Fowler et al., 2012](#); [Kitaev, 2003](#)). It leverages the fact that the simple

structures of the syndrome extraction circuits of topological quantum codes remain similar across code sizes. This enables optimised large-scale noise characterisation experiments that use experimental designs optimised at small scales. QuantumACES is capable of calculating and precisely fitting the performance scaling of these experimental designs as a function of the code size, enabling performance predictions at scales where explicit calculation becomes intractable.

Moreover, QuantumACES supports the simulation and decoding of memory experiments for syndrome extraction circuits with Stim and the open-source Python packages PyMatching (Higgott, 2022; Higgott & Gidney, 2025) and BeliefMatching (Higgott et al., 2023), respectively. It also provides an interface with the open-source Python package Qiskit (Javadi-Abhari et al., 2024), enabling the export of experimental designs to Qiskit circuits that can then be implemented to characterise noise in real quantum devices.

Statement of need

The utility of detailed and scalable Pauli noise characterisation methods grows as experimental progress pushes quantum devices towards scales of hundreds of qubits and initial demonstrations of fault tolerance. QuantumACES enables noise characterisation and noise-aware decoding in this context, as demonstrated in (Hockings et al., 2025b) and (Hockings et al., 2025a), respectively. While there are several software packages for benchmarking and noise characterisation, there are no open-source packages capable of detailed and scalable Pauli noise characterisation of quantum devices. Forest-Benchmarking (Combes et al., 2019) is an open-source Python package containing many routines for quantum characterisation, verification, and validation (QCVV), but its detailed noise characterisation techniques are not scalable. Gate set tomography (GST) (Nielsen et al., 2021) is a principled and extremely detailed noise characterisation protocol implemented by the open-source Python package pyGSTi (Nielsen et al., 2022), but it is limited to characterising very small numbers of qubits. Cycle error reconstruction (CER) (Carignan-Dugas et al., 2023) is the noise characterisation protocol whose capabilities are most similar to ACES, but it is implemented by the commercial software True-Q (Beale et al., 2020).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Engineered Quantum Systems (CE170100009), the U.S. Army Research Office (W911NF-21-1-0001, W911NF-23-S-0004), and the Unitary Foundation.

References

- Aaronson, S., & Gottesman, D. (2004). Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits. *Physical Review A*, 70(5), 052328. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.052328>
- Aliferis, P. (2013). Introduction to quantum fault tolerance. In D. A. Lidar & T. A. Brun (Eds.), *Quantum Error Correction* (pp. 126–160). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139034807.007>
- Bausch, J., Senior, A. W., Heras, F. J. H., Edlich, T., Davies, A., Newman, M., Jones, C., Satzinger, K., Niu, M. Y., Blackwell, S., Holland, G., Kafri, D., Atalaya, J., Gidney, C., Hassabis, D., Boixo, S., Neven, H., & Kohli, P. (2024). Learning high-accuracy error decoding for quantum processors. *Nature*, 635(8040), 834–840. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08148-8>
- Beale, S. J., Boone, K., Carignan-Dugas, A., Chytros, A., Dahlen, D., Dawkins, H., Emerson, J., Ferracin, S., Frey, V., Hincks, I., Hufnagel, D., Iyer, P., Jain, A., Kolbush, J., Ospadov,

- 89 E., Pino, J. L., Qassim, H., Saunders, J., Skanes-Norman, J., ... Wright, E. (2020). *True-Q*.
 90 Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3945249>
- 91 Beale, S. J., Wallman, J. J., Gutiérrez, M., Brown, K. R., & Laflamme, R. (2018). Coherence
 92 in quantum error-correcting codes. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(19), 190501. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.190501>
- 93
- 94 Bezanson, J., Edelman, A., Karpinski, S., & Shah, V. B. (2017). Julia: A fresh approach to
 95 numerical computing. *SIAM Review*, 59(1), 65–98. <https://doi.org/10.1137/141000671>
- 96 Bravyi, S. B., & Kitaev, A. Y. (1998). Quantum codes on a lattice with boundary. *arXiv*
 97 *Preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9811052>
- 98 Carignan-Dugas, A., Dahlen, D., Hincks, I., Ospadov, E., Beale, S. J., Ferracin, S., Skanes-
 99 Norman, J., Emerson, J., & Wallman, J. J. (2023). The error reconstruction and compiled
 100 calibration of quantum computing cycles. *arXiv Preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.17714>
- 101 Chen, E. H., Yoder, T. J., Kim, Y., Sundaresan, N., Srinivasan, S., Li, M., Córcoles, A.
 102 D., Cross, A. W., & Takita, M. (2022). Calibrated decoders for experimental quantum
 103 error correction. *Physical Review Letters*, 128(11), 110504. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.110504>
- 104
- 105 Combes, J., Gulshen, K. V., Harrigan, M. P., Karalekas, P. J., Silva, M. P. da, Alam, M. S.,
 106 Brown, A. F., Caldwell, S., Capelluto, L. C., Crooks, G. E., Girshovich, D., Johnson, B.
 107 R., Peterson, E. C., Polloreno, A. M., Rubin, N. C., Ryan, C. A., Staley, A. N., Tezak,
 108 N. A., & Valery, J. A. (2019). *Forest benchmarking: QCVV using PyQuil*. Zenodo.
 109 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3455848>
- 110 Dennis, E., Kitaev, A., Landahl, A., & Preskill, J. (2002). Topological quantum memory.
 111 *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43(9), 4452–4505. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1499754>
- 112 Flammia, S. T. (2022). Averaged circuit eigenvalue sampling. *17th Conference on the*
 113 *Theory of Quantum Computation, Communication and Cryptography*, 232, 4:1–4:10.
 114 <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.TQC.2022.4>
- 115 Fowler, A. G., Mariantoni, M., Martinis, J. M., & Cleland, A. N. (2012). Surface codes:
 116 Towards practical large-scale quantum computation. *Physical Review A*, 86(3), 032324.
 117 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032324>
- 118 Gidney, C. (2021). Stim: A fast stabilizer circuit simulator. *Quantum*, 5, 497. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2021-07-06-497>
- 119
- 120 Gottesman, D. (1997). *Stabilizer codes and quantum error correction* [PhD thesis, California
 121 Institute of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.7907/rzr7-dt72>
- 122 Gottesman, D. (2010). An introduction to quantum error correction and fault-tolerant
 123 quantum computation. In S. Lomonaco (Ed.), *Quantum information science and its*
 124 *contributions to mathematics* (Vol. 68, pp. 13–58). American Mathematical Society.
 125 <https://doi.org/10.1090/psapm/068>
- 126 Higgott, O. (2022). PyMatching: A Python package for decoding quantum codes with
 127 minimum-weight perfect matching. *ACM Transactions on Quantum Computing*, 3(3),
 128 16:1–16:16. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3505637>
- 129 Higgott, O., Bohdanowicz, T. C., Kubica, A., Flammia, S. T., & Campbell, E. T. (2023).
 130 Improved decoding of circuit noise and fragile boundaries of tailored surface codes. *Physical*
 131 *Review X*, 13(3), 031007. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.13.031007>
- 132 Higgott, O., & Gidney, C. (2025). Sparse blossom: Correcting a million errors per core
 133 second with minimum-weight matching. *Quantum*, 9, 1600. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2025-01-20-1600>
- 134
- 135 Hockings, E. T., Doherty, A. C., & Harper, R. (2025a). Improving error suppression with

- 136 noise-aware decoding. *arXiv Preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.21044>
- 137 Hockings, E. T., Doherty, A. C., & Harper, R. (2025b). Scalable noise characterisation of
138 syndrome extraction circuits with averaged circuit eigenvalue sampling. *PRX Quantum*,
139 6(1), 010334. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.6.010334>
- 140 Javadi-Abhari, A., Treinish, M., Krsulich, K., Wood, C. J., Lishman, J., Gacon, J., Martiel, S.,
141 Nation, P. D., Bishop, L. S., Cross, A. W., Johnson, B. R., & Gambetta, J. M. (2024).
142 Quantum computing with Qiskit. *arXiv Preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08810>
- 143 Kitaev, A. Y. (2003). Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Annals of Physics*,
144 303(1), 2–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916\(02\)00018-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00018-0)
- 145 Knill, E. (2005). Quantum computing with realistically noisy devices. *Nature*, 434(7029),
146 39–44. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03350>
- 147 Nielsen, E., Gamble, J. K., Rudinger, K., Scholten, T., Young, K., & Blume-Kohout, R. (2021).
148 Gate set tomography. *Quantum*, 5, 557. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2021-10-05-557>
- 149 Nielsen, E., Seritan, S., Proctor, T., Rudinger, K., Young, K., Russo, A., Blume-Kohout, R.,
150 Kelly, R. P., Gamble, J. K., & Saldyt, L. (2022). *pyGSTi*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.594712>
- 151
- 152 Shor, P. W. (1996). Fault-tolerant quantum computation. *Proceedings of the 37th Annual*
153 *Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1996.548464>
- 154
- 155 Sundaresan, N., Yoder, T. J., Kim, Y., Li, M., Chen, E. H., Harper, G., Thorbeck, T., Cross, A.
156 W., Córcoles, A. D., & Takita, M. (2023). Demonstrating multi-round subsystem quantum
157 error correction using matching and maximum likelihood decoders. *Nature Communications*,
158 14(1), 2852. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38247-5>
- 159 Terhal, B. M. (2015). Quantum error correction for quantum memories. *Reviews of Modern*
160 *Physics*, 87(2), 307–346. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.307>
- 161 Tiurev, K., Derks, P.-J. H. S., Roffe, J., Eisert, J., & Reiner, J.-M. (2023). Correcting
162 non-independent and non-identically distributed errors with surface codes. *Quantum*, 7,
163 1123. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2023-09-26-1123>
- 164 Tuckett, D. K., Bartlett, S. D., Flammia, S. T., & Brown, B. J. (2020). Fault-tolerant
165 thresholds for the surface code in excess of 5% under biased noise. *Physical Review Letters*,
166 124(13), 130501. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.130501>
- 167 Wallman, J. J., & Emerson, J. (2016). Noise tailoring for scalable quantum computation
168 via randomized compiling. *Physical Review A*, 94(5), 052325. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.052325>
- 169